

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/914,789		08/20/1997	CHARLES B. KATZ	C0464.097255	9133
27510	7590	02/27/2003			
		OCKTON LLP	EXAMINER		
607 14TH S SUITE 900	Í		AKERS, GEOFFREY R		OFFREY R
WASHING	ION, DC	20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3624	26
			•	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	~ •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary	08/9/4789	KAtz	<i>Y</i>
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit Co	armation No.
	Alour G	3624	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence a	ddress -
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	TH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA	TE OF THIS
- Extensions of time may be available under the provision from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period sh Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	30) days, a reply within the statutory mininal, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the properties of the properties	num of thirty (30) days will be considered on the mailing date of this communication pecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	timely.
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	11/12/0		
This action is FINAL . This action			·
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte	wance except for the formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is closed
Disposition of Claims	44470, 1000 0.0. 11, 400 0.0	. 210.	
(Claim(s)	-10,23	is/are pending in this applic	eation
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consi	
Claim(s)		islare allowed	
Claim(s) 2-4-6-	10 23	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or	election
Application Papers		requirement.	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	is approved of a lin reply to this Office action.	r disapproved by the Examin	er.
The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abo	to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
The specification is objected to by the Exam			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9 (a)-(d) or (f).	
All Some* None of the:	y documents have been receive		
Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been receive	d in Application No.	
Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority documents have tion from the International Burea	heen received	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	19(e) (to a provisional application	on).
The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	ge provisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C. &&	een received. 120 and/or 121	
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-14 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	49, Paper No(s) Inte	rview Summary, PTO-413 ce of Informal Patent Application	n, PTO-152
S Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (07/01)			
	PAIN	QH 26 Part of Pa	sper No

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is ussued in response to applicant's Appeal Brief(Paper #25) filed 12/12/02.
- 2. Prosecution is reopened.
- 3. Claims 2-4,6-10,23 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4,6-10,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whybrow ("Warehousing Benefits", Banking Technology, May 1995) in view of CFI Proservice's product "ProAcfive" (referenced by PR Newswire, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as "U"), by Prince, 1995 (hereinafter referred to as "V") and Business Journal-Portland, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as "W") and further in view of Gibson(US Pat. 6,259,456). Whybrow's article recites the use of a "data warehouse", or repository, in a banking environment (abstract of article). Whybrow teaches "...data warehousing means putting a single database above a company's existing systems. The warehouse is fed by the underlying systems, data is held in a standard, consolidated format, and all management reporting and analysis is then driven from this repository" (paragraph 3).

Application/Control Number: 08/914789

Art Unit: 3624

6. Independent Claim 23: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling data for

analysis and reporting..." (abstract) comprising:

"extracting data" (paragraph 3 and paragraph 6, "collecting" data); as well as parsing(paragraph 3)

as well as "determining differences in data" (paragraphs 5 and 3) and "integrating" and

"consolidation" (paragraph 7)

"reformatting said data" (paragraph 6, "translated" data, and paragraph 7);

"storing said formatted data, and integrating said stored data with previously stored data..."

(abstract and paragraph 3); and

"preparing reports" (paragraph 3).

However, Whybrow does not specifically recite the step of "normalizing" the data and "preparing reports ...to indicate fair lending compliance." CFI Proservice's "ProActive" is a fair lending compliance software product which allows a bank to "collect, analyze, report, and map data related to lending and deposit activities" (reference "U", paragraph 6). ProActive "will generate HMDA reports in the electronic formats required by federal agencies... " (ref. "U", para. As stated in reference "U", ProActive allows a bank to analyze and report on fair lending compliance based upon a collection of data (paragraphs 6-9). Whybrow's repository is a collection of data in a standardized, consolidated format which can be used to generate management reports (paragraph 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the use of the ProActive product with the data

repository of Whybrow as the repository would provide the software product with the data

Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

needed to generate the required reports. The financial institution is required by law to provide information to the government to prove that they are meeting the standards of the fair lending laws. By establishing a data repository, and using a specialized compliance product, ProActive, the financial institution can meet those requirements in an efficient, streamlined fashion.

Regarding the step of "normalizing" the data, Gibson teaches data normalizing techniques(Abstract)(Figs 49-50)(col 2 line 37)(col 34 line 64-col 43 line 46)(Tables 8-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine Gibson with the prior cited references to normalize the data in order to create meaningful data for use in management reporting. (As evidenced by the article "Wessex's Pro/Filer makes desktop mapping easy" (Bank Marketing, 1994) note "Pro/Filer quickly computes, sums, averages, and normalizes" (para. 9) and "...a means of complying with CRA" (para. 12)).

7. Claim 2: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling of data for analysis and reporting..." (paragraph 1), however, Whybrow does not teach the transmission of "said reports to at least one regulator of the financial institution." ProActive compliance software produces electronic reports which are sent to federal agencies, that is "regulators" (ref. U, para. 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to transmit the reports to the regulator(s) of the financial institution as the reports are required by the fair lending laws, therefore, for a financial institution to remain in compliance with the law, the reports will be sent to the federal regulators.

Art Unit: 3624

- 8. Claim 3: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling of data fog analysis and reporting..." (paragraph 1) and ProActive compliance software produces electronic reports which are sent to federal agencies. However, the references do not specifically recited that the reports are sent on a "predetermined date", Official Notice is taken that reporting on a regular basis, "predetermined dates", is old and well-known in the regulation industry.-The fair lending laws, notably HMDA and CRA, require reporting from financial institutions in order to determine if the institution is in compliance with the fair lending laws. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of regulation to require those institutions to report on a regular basis as this is the best means by which to establish a systematic approach to monitoring fair lending compliance.
- 9. Claim 4: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling of data for analysis and reporting..." (paragraph 1), and ProAcfive compliance software produces electronic reports which are sent to federal agencies. However, the references do not specifically recite that the regulators include the "OCC, FRS, FDIC, and OTS." Official Notice is taken that sending required regulatory reports (compliance reports) to the OCC, FRS, FDIC, and OTS is old and well-known in the art of regulation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of regulation to send compliance reports to the federal regulatory agencies noted above because these agencies have the responsibility to perform federal regulatory duties. (As evidenced by the CRA and HMDA laws and numerous regulations as an example please note the following:

Art Unit: 3624

CITATION NUMBER: 58 FR 67466 Date: TUESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1993

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency--(OCC); Federal Deposit Insurance

Corporation-(FDIC); Federal Reserve System--(FRS); Office of Thrift Supervision--(OTS)

DOCUMENT TYPE: Proposed Rules

more accurate than reports using older data.

This citation is one of many documenting proposed rulemaking and rule changes by the agencies charged with monitoring fairness in lending; Note the agencies are OCC, FDIC, FRS, and OTS).

10. Claims 6 and 7: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling data for analysis and reporting...", including the step of data "extraction" (paras. 3 and 6), however, the reference does not discuss the frequency in which the data extraction, or collection, should be performed. Gibson teaches memory caches and data flow for data processing rates (Fig 141) (Fig 23-29) (Fig 7) (Fig 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data warehouse management to combine Gibson in view of the above-cited references to teach regularly, notably monthly, collect data for the repository so that the repository is kept up-to-date. By maintaining the data in the repository on a regular basis, the reports generated from the repository will be up-to-date and

11. Claims 8 and 9: These recite the method where the sources of data include "branches of the financial institution" (claim 8) and wherein the sources also include "at least one from the group of bankcard processing centers, student loan processing and business loan processing centers, and US territorial locations of the financial institution" (claim 9). Whybrow's data warehouse, or repository, system includes the step of "feeding" data to the warehouse from-

Art Unit: 3624

various "systems" (paragraphs 3 and 6), however, the reference does not explicitly state what those systems are. The ProActive compliance software generates reports for transmission to regulatory agencies. The federal regulatory agencies require that specific data be sent to them and the final result of this effort is that the regulatory agencies give the financial institution a rating. In order to do this, the federal agencies require that the data represent the financial institution as a whole, therefore, it is essential that the institutions collect the data from branch offices and any specialized processing centers under the auspices of the institution. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of banking, particularly compliance officers, to collect data from all business units of the bank in order to completely and accurately reflect the financial institutions' lending practices (as evidenced by the CRA and HMDA laws and regulations). Claim 10: Whybrow teaches a method for "storing and compiling data ... for reporting", 12. however, Whybrow does not specifically recite that the "reports include reports required by federal regulators under the fair lending laws." ProActive compliance software does include the feature of generating reports required by fair lending laws (ref. U, para. 9 and ref. V, para. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of banking regulation to apply specific federally mandated report generation to the data warehouse of Whybrow. Whybrow's data warehouse provides for report generating, therefore, the'specific'use of the data for fair lending requirements would be obvious to one skilled in the art because the data is accessible and the financial institutions are required by law to generate the report.

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Wybrow teaches reformatting data."reformatting said data" (paragraph 6, "translated" data, and paragraph 7). Multiple times of reformatting are merely repetitions of the same process as taught in Whybrow. Whybrow teaches parsing of data and "extracting data" (paragraph 3 and paragraph 6, "collecting" data);as well as parsing(paragraph 3) as well as "determining differences in data" (paragraphs 5 and 3). Whybrow teaches also "integrating" and "consolidation" (paragraph 7).

The use of "normalization" techniques is old and is an established standard technique in the field of statistical analysis. Gibson teaches such data normalization techniques and data parsing and conversion(US Pat. No: 6,259,456) and is prior art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to normalize the data in order to create meaningful data for use in management reporting. (As evidenced by the article "Wessex's Pro/Filer makes desktop mapping easy" (Bank Marketing, 1994) note "Pro/Filer quickly computes, sums, averages, and normalizes" (para. 9) and "...a means of complying with CRA" (para. 12)).

ProActive compliance software does teach the step of "geo-coding" date(ref. V paras 7-9 and ref U para 10). It is clear that one would utilize geocoding in creating banking compliance reports.

Art Unit: 3624

This is so because geocoding is necessary so loan data can be analyzed in terms of geographical area and localized loan practices can be evaluated in the light of the communities where the financial institutions that are involved, service.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dr. Geoffrey Akers whose telephone number is (703) 306-5844. This primary examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 0630-1700. If attempts to reach the primary examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2700 is (703) 3089051 or 9052. Draft or Information faxes for this Art Unit can be submitted to (703) 308-5357). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

GRA/Primary

February 24,2003